arXiv:1410.6017v4 [hep-ph] 4 Nov 2014

Hints of New Physics from $D_s^+ \to K^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$, $D^0 \to \pi^0 \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ and $D_s^+ \to D^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ Decays

Shakeel Mahmood[(1)], Farida Tahir, Azeem Mir
Comsats Institute of Information Technology,
Department of Physics, Park Road, Chek Shazad,Islamabad
[(1)]shakeel_mahmood@hotmail.com

Abstract

We study rare decays $D_s^+ \to K^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$, $D_s^+ \to D^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ and $D^0 \to \pi^0 \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ in NSIs. We calculate the NSIs Branching ratios of these decays. There is a strong dependence of these on new physics parameter. They provide, stringent constraints on $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uL}$, $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{dL}$ and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{dL}$ $(\alpha, \beta = e, \mu)$.

PACS numbers: 12.60.-i, 13.15.+g, 13.20.-v

1 Introduction

Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a highly successful model. It has been tested to high degree of precision up to 1%. The 2012 discovery of higgs [1][2][3] and confirmation in 2014 [4] that it is SM higgs further strengthen the model. But, despite of all these successes it is not the end of book. There are many questions which are not tackled by SM yet. It is silent about the possible pattern for particles masses, known as mass hierarchy problem. Mass of top can not be predicted without experimental evidence. It has no answer to the generations of leptons and quarks. Neutrino mass is a concrete experimental evidence against standard model. It is generally believed that SM is a low energy approximation of some more fundamental theory. Experiments provide us the precision test of SM as well as the possibility of new physics (NP) yet unknown. Presently, one way of exploring NP is at very high energy machines like LHC. Other way of search is at B-factories and BES-III which are although operating at very low energies as compared to LHC but due to high intensities have low background. So they can also be used for search of NP. NP can be found in the leptonic and semileptonic decays of the mesons. We have three types of decays in which it can be searched

(1) Flavor Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC), highly suppressed in SM
(2) Lepton Flavour Violating decays (LFV), not allowed in SM
(3) Lepton Number Violating decays (LNV), not allowed in SM

Rare decays occur at loop level and involve FCNC. These decays can be represented by

$$M \longrightarrow M^{'} l_{\alpha} l_{\beta}$$

where $M = K, D, B$ mesons and $M^{'} = \pi, K, D$ mesons and $M > M^{'}$and l is a charge lepton or a neutrino. At quark level it can be written as

$$q \longrightarrow q^{'} l_{\alpha} l_{\beta}$$

which is represented in SM by

$$L_{eff}^{SM} = -2\sqrt{2} G_F (\overline{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} L \nu_{\alpha})(\overline{f} \gamma^{\mu} P f)$$

where α corresponds to the light neutrino flavor, f denote a charged lepton or quark, where we are only dealing with quarks and P= R or L with $R(L)$ = $(\frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2})$.

(2) $\alpha \neq \beta$; strictly forbidden due to lepton flavor violation, so having no contribution in SM, only possible in Non standard interactions (NSIs) [6]

$$L_{eff}^{NSI} = -2\sqrt{2} G_F \left[\sum_{\alpha=\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{fP} (\overline{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} L \nu_{\beta})(\overline{f} \gamma^{\mu} P f) + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{fP} (\overline{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} L \nu_{\beta})(\overline{f} \gamma^{\mu} P f) \right]$$

Here $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{fP}$ is the parameter for NSIs, which carries information about dynamics. NSIs are considered to be well-matched with the oscillation effects along with new features in neutrino searches [7][8][9][10][11][12][13]. NSIs may conserve flavor $\alpha = \beta$,for this we have ϵ_{ee}^{fP}, $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}^{fP}$ and $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{fP}$ known as flavour diagonal (FD). It can violate flavor conservation $\alpha \neq \beta$, for which we have $\epsilon_{e\mu}^{fP}$, $\epsilon_{e\tau}^{fP}$, $\epsilon_{\mu e}^{fP}$, $\epsilon_{\mu\tau}^{fP}$, $\epsilon_{\tau e}^{fP}$, and $\epsilon_{\tau\mu}^{fP}$ known as Flavor non diagonal (FND). Constraints on NSI parameter $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{fP}$ have been studied in References [14][15][16][17]. From scattering in leptonic sectors (f is lepton), constraints are determined for first two generations ϵ_{ll}^{fP} (l = e,μ) by tree level processes and could be limited at $O(10^{-3})$ by future $\sin^2\theta_W$ experiments. For third generation (τ) we study decays which occur at loop level. KamLAND data [18] and solar neutrino data [19][20] can improve the third generation (τ) limit to (0.3) [14] . Although, the constraints on $\epsilon_{\tau l}^{fP}$ are given by the precision experiments but they are bounded by $O(10^{-2})$ [14][21].

When decays are measured experimentally we actually observe contributions from SM as well as beyond SM or NP. Thus, when we declare that there is no NP we have to be careful that we have not absorbed such new evidence into SM physics. There are following approaches for the search of new physics in decays

(1) We predict the decay rate of a single process with known couplings and compare it to experiments

(2) We make measurements of CKM parameters and compare them that they agree or not

(3) The same quantity is measure in several ways, even if it cannot be predicted by SM e.g. CP violation.

The bottom line drown from above mentioned approaches is that we have NP in the rare decays of mesons. Semileptonic decays of K and B mesons have and will continue their role for exploring NP. But for D sector due to smallness of the branching ratios in SM and lack of experimental data, semileptonic charm physics is difficult to study. But now data from BES-III, B factory, Super-B and LHC-b for the rare decays which will improve our knowledge of charm physics. A theoretical estimate for CC (charge currents) decays $D_s^+ \to D^0 e^+ \upsilon_e, B_s^0 \to B^+ e^- \overline{\upsilon}_e, D_s^+ \to D^+ e^+ e^-$and $B_s^0 \to B^0 e^+ e^-$ is given in [5] for future data at different luminosities of these machines. Theoretical values of NSIs could also be calculated for FCNC in charm decays. We select D ($D_s^+ \to K^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon},\ D^+ \to D^0 \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}, D^0 \to \pi^0 \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$) for this purpose and analysis them in the frame work of NSIs. First of all we give standard model treatment in section 2. Section 3 and 4 present NSIs of $D_s^+ \to K^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon},\ D^0 \to \pi^0 \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ and $D_s^+ \to D^0 \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ respectively. Then we summarize and discuss in section 5 and finally conclusion is provided in section 6.

2 Rare Decays of D in The Standard Model

$c \to u\ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ is a FCNC process for which SM diagrams are shown in fig 1. Such processes proceed through the exchange of the down type quarks quark in the loop contrary to B and K mesons, even for b quark CKM matrix element V_{cb} is so small that overall effect is negligible. The masses of s and d are smaller then the non-perturbative QCD scale Λ_{QCD}, so GIM cancellation is perfect for short distance . It's SM Hamiltonian is

$$H_{eff}^{SM} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau} [V_{cs}^* V_{us} X(x_s) + V_{cb}^* V_{ub} X(x_b)] \times (\overline{u}c)_{V-A} (\nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta)_{V-A}$$

but for $D_s^+ \to K^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ the dominant contribution comes from long distance. It is free from QCD complications because they can be absorbed with tree level process $D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^0 e^+ \nu_e$. Long distance SM branching ratio $4 \times 10^{-16}$of $D_s^+ \to K^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ is out of approach for any existing detector.



Figure 1.

3 NSIs in $D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^+\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta$

NSI diagram for $c \longrightarrow u\nu\overline{v}$

Figure 2.

The NSIs diagram $c \longrightarrow u\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta$ is given in fig 2 represented by

$$H^{NSI}_{c\longrightarrow u\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi\sin^2\theta_W}V_{cd}V^*_{ud}\epsilon^{dL}_{\alpha\beta}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W})(\overline{\nu}_\alpha\nu_\beta)_{V-A}(\overline{c}u)_{V-A}$$

For $D^+ \longrightarrow \pi^+\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta$ decay NSIs is calculated in [14]

$$BR(D^+ \longrightarrow \pi^+\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta)_{NSI} = |V^*_{ud}\frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi\sin^2\theta_W}\epsilon^{dL}_{\alpha\beta}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W}|^2 BR(D^+ \longrightarrow \pi^0 e^+\nu_e)$$

$BR(D^+ \longrightarrow \pi^+\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta)_{NSI} = 2\times10^{-8}|\epsilon^{dL}_{\alpha\beta}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W}|^2$and it is mentioned that as α and β could represent any lepton, we take $\epsilon^{dL}_{\tau\tau} \sim 1, \epsilon^{dL}_{ll'}\langle 1$ for $l = l' \neq \tau$. Here $\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W} \sim 1$.

We point out that the same is applicable to two other processes $D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^+\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta$ and $D^0 \to \pi^0\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta$.

$$BR(D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^+\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta)_{NSI} = |V^*_{ud}\frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi\sin^2\theta_W}\epsilon^{dL}_{\alpha\beta}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W}|^2 BR(D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^0 e^+\nu_e)$$

$$BR(D^0 \longrightarrow \pi^0\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta)_{NSI} = |V^*_{ud}\frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi\sin^2\theta_W}\epsilon^{dL}_{\alpha\beta}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W}|^2 BR(\overline{D}^0 \longrightarrow \pi^- e^+\nu_e)$$

Using PDG 2012 [22] Values $BR(D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^0 e^+\nu_e) = (3.7\pm1)\times10^{-3}$, $V_{ud} = 0.97425\pm0.00022$, $\alpha_{em} = \frac{1}{137}$,we get

$$BR(D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^+\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta)_{NSI} = 2.22796\times10^{-8}(\epsilon^{dL}_{\alpha\beta})^2|\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W}|^2$$

For $\epsilon^{dL}_{\tau\tau} \sim 1$ and $\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W} \sim 1$,we get $BR(D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^+\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta)_{NSI} = 2.22796\times10^{-8}$.

Similarly for $BR(\overline{D}^0 \longrightarrow \pi^- e^+\nu_e) = 2.89\times10^{-3}$we have

$$BR(D^0 \longrightarrow \pi^0\nu_\alpha\overline{\nu}_\beta)_{NSI} = 3.21068\times10^{-8}(\epsilon^{dL}_{\alpha\beta})^2|\ln\frac{\Lambda}{m_W}|^2$$

10^{-8}will be in the range of BES-III. If it is not detected by there even than useful limits for new physics can be suggested.

Reaction	SM			NSIs	$\epsilon^{dL}_{\tau\tau}$	$\epsilon^{dL}_{ll'}$ $l = l' \neq \tau$
$BR(D^+ \longrightarrow \pi^+ \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta)$	Long Distance	$< 8 \times 10^{-16}$	[24]	2×10^{-8}	~ 1	$\langle 1$
	Short Distance	3.9×10^{-16}				
$BR(D_s^+ \longrightarrow K^+ \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta)$	Long Distance	$< 4 \times 10^{-16}$[23]		2.23×10^{-8}	~ 1	$\langle 1$
	Short Distance	1.5×10^{-16}				
$BR(D^0 \longrightarrow \pi^0 \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta)$	Long Distance	$< 6 \times 10^{-16}$	[24]	3.21×10^{-8}	~ 1	$\langle 1$
	Short Distance	4.9×10^{-16}				

Table 1.

Contour Plot $(D^0 \longrightarrow \pi^0 \nu_\tau \overline{\nu}_\tau)_{NSI}$ *as a function of* $\epsilon^{dL}_{\tau\tau}$ *and new energy scale* Λ

Figure 3.

$NSIs\ Branching\ Ratio\ of\ D^0 \to \pi^0 \nu_\tau \overline{\nu}_\tau$
Λ *is new phyics scale,* $\epsilon^{dL}_{\tau\tau}$ *new physics parameter*

Figure 4.

4 NSIs in $\mathbf{D}_s^+ \to D^+ \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta$

It is short distance dominant process represented by quark level process $s \to d\ \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta$ just like $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta$ for which $\epsilon^{uL}_{\tau\tau} \leq \frac{8.8\times10^{-3}}{\ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_W}}$ is pointed out by [14]. NSIs Diagram in fig 3

$NSIs\ s \to d\ \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta$

Figure 5.

The effective Hamiltonian for such reaction is given by

$$H^{NSI}_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}(V^*_{us} V_{ud} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \epsilon^{uL}_{\alpha\beta} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_W}) \times (\nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta)_{V-A} (\overline{s} d)$$

From this branching ratio of $D_s^+ \to D^+ \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta$ for NSIs becomes

$$Br(D_s^+ \to D^+ \nu_\alpha \overline{\nu}_\beta)_{NSI} = |\frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi \sin^2\theta_W} V_{ud} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{uL} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_W}|^2 BR(D_s^+ \longrightarrow D^0 e^+ \nu_e)$$

Using estimated $BR(D_s^+ \longrightarrow D^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ for BES in [5] we get NSIs $Br(D_s^+ \to D^+ \nu_\tau \overline{\nu}_\tau) = 2.33153 \times 10^{-15}$ which could enhance SM value ($\sim 6 \times 10^{-15}$) even at electroweak scale.

$Process$	SM	$NSIs$	$\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uL}$
$D_s^+ \to D^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$	6×10^{-15}	2×10^{-15}	$O(10^2)$

Table 2

This can not be detected in BES-III but there is a chance for them in B-factories or in a future accelerator.The contour plot of Br ratio as a function of new energy scale Λ and $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uL}$ is given in fig 6.

Contour Plot $(D_s^+ \longrightarrow D^+ \nu_\tau \overline{\nu}_\tau)$ *NSI as a function of* $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uL}$ *and new energy scale* Λ

Figure 6.

Branching Ratio of NSI of $D_s^+ \to D^+ \upsilon_\tau \overline{\upsilon}_\tau$
Λ *is new phyics scale,* $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uL}$ *is new physics parameter*

Figure 7.

5 Summary and Discussion

We investigate two processes $D_s^+ \to K^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$, $D^0 \to \pi^0 \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ which are long distance dominated so they are model dependent that is why new physics could enhance their branching ratio, as it is added into standard model. It means contribution form NSIs is very large as compared to SM. But, as for as the short distance (SD) dominated $D_s^+ \to D^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ is concerned the margin is only the difference between SM and experiments. These are calculated by perturbation theory which is, so far, considered very authentic so we can not discard SM contribution all altogether in this case. NSIs can improve SD dominated processes. For example, for short distance dominated process $K^+ \to \pi^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ the difference between experiment and theory is $\sim 10^{-10}$ so NSIs can not give more contribution than this. Unfortunately, it is the only experimentally measured semileptonic process involved two neutrinos in the final state. We are only using the limit provided by this process in $D_s^+ \to D^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$.

6 Conclusion

We have calculated $D_s^+ \to K^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$, $D^0 \to \pi^0 \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ and $D_s^+ \to D^+ \upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$ decays with branching ratios of 2.23×10^{-8}, $3.21 \times 10^{-8}$and 2.33×10^{-15} respectively in the frame work of NSIs. From these calculations bounds on $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uL}$ and $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}^{dL}$ are $O(10^{-2})$ and ~ 1 respectively, $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{dL} < 1$ for $\alpha, \beta = e, \mu$. NSIs are giving much higher values for long distance dominated processes and there is a considerable enhancement in the short distance processes of D rare decays involving neutrinos in the final state.

References

[1] 1. CMS Collaboration,Phys. Lett. B 716, 30 61 (2012).

[2] 2. CMS Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. 06, 081 (2013).

[3] 3. ATLAS Collaboration. Phys. Lett. B 716, 1 29 (2012).

[4] CMS Collaboration, NPHYS3005 22 JUNE 2014.

[5] Eur. Phys. J. C (2009) 59: 841–845.

[6] S. Davidsona, C. Pena-Garayb, N. Riusc, A. Santamariac, arXiv:hep-ph/0302093v2 5 Feb 2009.

[7] P. Huber, T. Schwetz, and J.W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 101804 (2002).

[8] N. Kitazawa, H. Sugiyama, and O. Yasuda, hep-ph/0606013.

[9] L. M. Johnson and D.W. McKay, Phys. Rev. D 61, 113007 (2000).

[10] A. M. Gago et al., Phys. Rev. D 64, 073003(2001).

[11] T. Ota and J. Sato, Phys. Lett. B 545, 367(2002).

[12] T. Ota, J. Sato, and N. Yamashita, Phys. Rev. D 65, 093015 (2002).

[13] M. Campanelli and A. Romanino, Phys. Rev. D 66, 113001 (2002).

[14] C. H. Chen, C. Q. Geng, T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 75, 077301 (2007).

[15] S. Davidson, C. Pena-Garay, N. Rius, and A. Santamaria, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2003) 011.

[16] V. D. Barger, R. J.N. Phillips, and K. Whisnant, Phys. Rev.D 44, 1629 (1991).

[17] Z. Berezhiani and A. Rossi, Phys. Lett. B 535, 207 (2002).

[18] K. Eguchi et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 071301.

[19] K. Eguchi et al. (KamLand Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 021802.

[20] J. Boger et al. (SNO Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 449 (2000) 172.

[21] M. L. Mangan et al., hep-ph/0105155.

[22] J Beringer et al., Phys. Rev. D 86 010001 (2012).

[23] TTP09-27,SFB/CPP-09-71.

[24] SLAC-PUB-95-6821.